What does this data set describe?

Title: GRSLE Site Summary

Abstract:
**PLEASE NOTE: This is preliminary metadata. Final metadata will be provided with the final project.** These data include summaries of in-field chipped stone artifact coding along the Upper Greybull River, Wyoming. Specific site locational data are confidential, but are available to qualified researchers from the Wyoming SHPO (http://wyoshpo.state.wy.us/SHPOweb2002/2002webpages/database.htm). Lawrence C. Todd (Colorado State University) is the project manager. The summary data were tabulated from individually coded artifact data. This is a non-collection survey, and with the exception of a limited number of obsidian samples for XRF source analysis, all artifacts were documented in the field and left in situ.

**Supplemental Information:**

Wyoming Cultural Properties forms are currently in preparation for all sites and will be submitted to the Wyoming SHPO and to the Shoshone National Forest. Since artifacts have been left in situ on the surface, site locational data are especially sensitive. As publications on these data are produced, citations will be added.

1. How should this data set be cited?


   This is part of the following larger work.

2. What geographic area does the data set cover?

   **West_Bounding_Coordinate:** -109.250  
   **East_Bounding_Coordinate:** -109.125  
   **North_Bounding_Coordinate:** 44.250  
   **South_Bounding_Coordinate:** 43.750

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USGS Quad Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldrich Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Creek Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunrud Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francs Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Burwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Fork Pickett Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phelps Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchfork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Peaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggins Peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. What does it look like?
4. Does the data set describe conditions during a particular time period?

Calendar_Date: 2006
Currentness_Reference: publication date

5. What is the general form of this data set?

Data are presented in Microsoft Excel file.

6. How does the data set represent geographic features?

   a. How are geographic features stored in the data set?

   Geographic data are available to qualified researchers from the Wyoming SHPO by reference to Site Number

   b. What coordinate system is used to represent geographic features?

   Site coordinates are given in WGS84 UTM (Zone 12)

7. How does the data set describe geographic features?

   N/A

Who produced the data set?

1. Who are the originators of the data set? (may include formal authors, digital

   Colorado State University, Department of Anthropology, Laboratory of Human Paleoeconomy

2. Who also contributed to the data set?

3. To whom should users address questions about the data?

   Lawrence C. Todd
   Department of Anthropology
   Laboratory of Human Paleoeconomy
   Colorado State University
   Fort Collins, Colorado  80523-1787
   USA

   (970) 490-2564 (voice)
   lctodd@lamar.colostate.edu
Why was the data set created?

To provide a basic summary of the general types of prehistoric stone tools and site assemblage sizes for archaeological sites recorded by CSU GRSLE (Greybull River Sustainable Landscape Ecology) project on the Shoshone National Forest in Northwestern Wyoming.

How was the data set created?

1. Where did the data come from?

In-field attribute coding was undertaken during the 2002-2005 field seasons by students from Colorado State University. For most sites, each artifact was individually recorded. In addition to the basic artifact class descriptive attributes reported here, data on lithic raw material, metric attributes, heating, color, and UTM provenience (WGS84) were recorded on the majority of artifacts. Some sites have had only preliminary descriptive work completed, and other than an initial count of surface artifacts, which are listed here as US (unspecified) artifact class.

2. What changes have been made?

Date have been last edited and updated on Jan. 06, 2006. As further analysis of the field data continues and as additional fieldwork (including, in some cases, site revisits) continues, these data will be updated.

How reliable are the data; what problems remain in the data

1. How well have the observations been checked?

General artifact descriptions were made by students with basic training in chipped stone analysis. Investigation of artifact identification reliability indicates that although there may be some error in classification, the basic descriptive coding is internally consistent.

2. How accurate are the geographic locations?
Individual artifact provenience data have been recorded using a variety of methods ranging from handheld recreational WAAS enabled GPS receivers with approximately 5 m accuracy to EDM total station mapping with subcentimeter accuracy. The method of coding each item is individually coded in the full project data base. Site UTM coordinates, which are linked to the site number, were calculated as the average northing, easting, and elevation of all pieces of chipped stone recorded on a site.

3. How accurate are the heights or depths?

4. Where are the gaps in the data? What is missing?

5. How consistent are the relationships among the observations, including topology?

Data have been recorded by a variety of field personnel over a 4-year period. Supervisory crew have remained constant and basic attribute definitions have remained unchanged.

How can someone get a copy of the data set?

Are there legal restrictions on access or use of the data?

Access_Constraints: Site Location data restricted and will be provided only to qualified, professional researchers.
Use_Constraints:
These preliminary data represent a snapshot of information that are being regularly updated, and users should be aware that values may change as additional fieldwork is conducted.

1. Who distributes the data set? (Distributor 1 of 1)

Lawrence C. Todd
Department of Anthropology
Laboratory of Human Paleoeconomy
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado  80523-1787
USA

(970) 490-2564 (voice)
lctodd@lamar.colostate.edu

Hours_of_Service:  8:00 AM - 5:00 PM (MST)
Contact_Instructions: Email contact is the most effective

2. What's the catalog number I need to order this data set?
GRSLE Site summary data

3. What legal disclaimers am I supposed to read?

When using the Preliminary GRSLE data, proper reference should be given to the Laboratory of Human Paleoecology, Department of Anthropology, Colorado State University, Lawrence C. Todd, Project Manager.

4. How can I download or order the data?

Availability in digital form:

Data file and additional information on the project are available at: www.greybull.org

Availability in non-digital form:

Cost to order the data: None

Special instructions:

How long will it take to get the data?

5. Is there some other way to get the data?

Contact Lawrence C. Todd (lctodd@lamar.colostate.edu)

6. What hardware or software do I need in order to use the data set?

Who wrote the metadata?

Dates:
Last modified: Jan. 06, 2006

Metadata author:

Lawrence C. Todd
Department of Anthropology
Laboratory of Human Paleoecology
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-1787
USA

(970) 490-2564 (voice)
lctodd@lamar.colostate.edu

Hours_of_Service: 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM (MST)