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Abstract
Historic cabins can show patterns of land use in remote areas. Three abandoned historic cabins were recorded during the Summer 2006 GRSLE project in the Shoshone National Forest in Wyoming. Compared to many areas in the Greater Yellowstone ecosystem, this region has escaped many of ecological modifications that coincide with the development of the tourist industry. The study of this exceptional area is important because, even though the area is relatively safe from construction and other such man-made dangers, other biological and physical processes continue to modify the archaeological record. Research demonstrates some of the more striking similarities and differences between the cabins, which are designated the 1) Sheepherder’s cabin, 2) Chico’s cabin, and the 3) Meadow cabin. Data on architectural features and styles of the cabins as well as the presence and content of rubbish heaps were collected as were historic cores for dendrochronologic analysis. While the Sheepherder’s cabin and Chico’s cabin share many similar architectural features, including orientation, evidence of sod covered roofs, and the presence of rubbish heaps, the Meadow cabin, which was consumed by the Little Venus Creek fire, tends to differ in architectural style and the lack of a discernable rubbish heap. Possible explanations for the similarities and differences in the three cabins include different regional origins of the builders and construction at different times. Data from such cabins are essential to understanding historic land use in remote alpine regions and the development of contemporary landscapes.

Introduction
Historic cabins are a rich source of data pertaining to such topics as settlement patterns, historic land use, and common architectural styles of particular areas. The Shoshone National Forest, like any protected area, offers opportunities for research that have disappeared from other portions of the area. The cabins that are analyzed here are merely three of many—both those that are known and have yet to be rediscovered—as the years pass. While the area is relatively protected from development, there is little to be done to shield fragile cabins from the ravages of nature. Every cabin yields great amounts of data. These data can then be analyzed to answer questions about the people who built the cabins and modified the land. Common styles and origins of these styles could potentially be established, as could data pertaining to the differing ecological impacts and cultures of the various people who settled there. Despite the potential amount of data that could be gathered from such cabins, they remain a largely untapped resource.

Methods
While in the field all three cabins were visited. When at the cabins, notes concerning the architecture and features of the cabin were recorded. In addition, photographs of each wall and feature noted were taken. Supplementary drawings were made in the field notebooks. While in the field, logs with the presence and contents of rubbish heaps were recorded. These notes, drawings, and photographs have been analyzed for common and disparate elements between the three cabins.

While there, historic cores as well as cross sections of appropriate logs were taken for use in dendrochronological analysis. The historic cores were taken from logs that had either visible beetle galleries or intact bark. The holes produced were then filled in with corks. The cores themselves have an approximate diameter of 8mm and are generally under 10cm in length. 11 historic cores were taken from the Sheepherder’s cabin, 7 from Chico’s cabin, and 5 from the Meadow cabin. The cross-sections were taken sparingly from the ends of logs in effort to be as unobtrusive as possible. 3 were taken from the Sheepherder’s cabin, 2 from Chico’s cabin, and 1 from the Meadow cabin.

Sheepherder’s Cabin
Sheepherder’s Cabin is located near a water source and the best preserved of the three. It also has a rubbish heap associated with it. The door is intact and faces east. There are windows in both the west/rear wall and the north/side wall, though the south/side wall is unimpregnated. The western rear wall has an intact wooden covering. The north/side window is uncovered, but the frame may have once supported glass. The cabin still contains a bed platform, shelves, and a fire pit. Oral history, as well as weather, links this cabin with sheepherders.

The Walls:• Const of large logs with ends sawed to form rough rectangles and then laced together. • Waterers of mud, chinking were used; instead thin boards were used. The southernmost log was the same. • Top logs on both sides and south and south logs are longer and remain rounded on the ends. These logs were fitted to the sides by notches.

The Roof:• Logs laid on the roof and the logs of the side wall fit the main structure. • Smaller logs, with axe-notches on one end and sloping where the main structure is held in place by large, rusted nails. • Roof logs are covered with layers of tarpaper, then metal, rocks, and soil. The tarpaper is held in place by smaller nails that are not rusted.

Sheepherder’s Cabin

The Meadow Cabin
The Meadow Cabin was the most dilapidated of the three. It too was located near a water source. There was no rubbish heap discovered in association with it. The door, though gone, faced west. Also, there was only one possible evidence of windows in any of the walls, a bed platform, shelves, or of a stove.

The Walls:• The walls were constructed of large logs fitted together by means of chinking. It was discovered that the small nails were discovered in association with the cabin. • Instead of chinking, the gaps between logs were filled with smaller logs. These logs appear to have been wedged in place between larger logs. • The east/rear wall contained a smaller log that had been notched halfway through. The notches were apparent both externally and internally and may have been a window.

Chico’s Cabin
Chico’s cabin is located near a water source. The door is gone, but it faced east. There are windows in both the west/rear wall and the north/side wall, and none on the south/side wall. There were no coverings on either window. Inside the cabin are a shelf and a bed platform, and the remains of a stove were found three meters from the northeastern corner. Oral history links this cabin with sheepherders.

The Walls:• Were constructed in way similar to Sheepherder’s Cabin, including wood slats instead of chinking. • Instead of going into the ground, to make the cabin level, the logs of the northwest corner rest on a foundation of piled rocks.

The Roof:• The roof remained, but the remains of a central beam is present. • The amount of soil and rock inside and the bits of tar paper that are still nailed to beams indicate the roof like the Sheepherder’s cabin, the roof may have been sod.

The Meadow Cabin

Results
The Sheepherder’s Cabin and Chico’s cabin share many common features in addition to oral histories linking both structures with sheepherders. They have similar elements of construction, rubbish heaps, and face the same direction. The Meadow Cabin lacked an oral history. Also, even through the delay, it was apparent that the cabin did not share many features with the other two. It was near a water source, and was a log cabin, but that is more or less the extent of the similarities between it and the Sheepherder’s and Chico’s cabins. These cabins, and others like them are valuable archaeological and historical tools and should be preserved.

Dendrochronology
The dendrochronologic samples taken are in the process of being analyzed. They are Engelmann Spruce, which is a complacent tree, and therefore difficult to work with.

A special thanks to Dr. Todd, and the entire 2006 GRSLE field school crew.
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This cabin was undiscovered until after it was consumed by the Venus Creek Fire.

The Haymaker Creek Cabin
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The Meadow Cabin after the fire
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